

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/534,186	05/06/2005	Mats Leijon	37399-400300	5301
27717 SEYFARTH S	7590 11/04/200 HAW LLP	EXAMINER		
	ORN ST., SUITE 2400		TAMAI, KARL I	
CHICAGO, IL	60603-5803		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2834	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/04/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/534,186	LEIJON ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
KARL I.E. TAMAI	2834		

	KARL I.E. TAMAI	2834					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>28 October 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 Al The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one or the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed v	, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A			atana da tatan da				
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expires or. (1) the maining date or this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 706.07(I	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set fort in (a) above, if checket. A vry reply received by the Office later than three months after the malling date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp							
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a							
Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	thin the time period set forth in 37 (CFR 41.37(a).					
AMENDMENTS							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor 			cause				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		E Delow),					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)		be entered and an e	xplanation of				
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov	ided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 1-7.12.15 and 16.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing- entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attach	ed.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☐ Other:							
	/ Karl I E. Tamai /						
	/ Karl I.E. Tamai / Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2834						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The inclusion of claim 15 into claim 1 is a new issue for the dependent claims 2-7, 12, and 16. Applicant's argument regarding new claim 1 (old claim 15) is not persuasive. The Applicant's argument Hat Kawamura is a permanent magnet device which can operate as either a motor or generator, as taught by Stevenson. Applicant's argument regarding how to implement Kawamura with Stevenson and Aanstoos is not persuasive. Awawmura teaches two windings (a low and high voltage windings), as claimed by the Applicant. Applicant's argument regarding the voltage levels is not persuasive. The output voltages of vehicle generators are shown by Stevenson and Aanstoos, in addition to clearly being a result effective variable that is within the ordinary skill in the art to select (see MPEP 2144.50). Applicant's argument regarding the insulation of Leijion is not specifically taught for a flivheel is not permassive because it is taught for use in a generators.